SAO 245B(05-MA)

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 1 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

Case Number: 1: 05 CR 10164 - 001 - GAO

USM Number: 25678-038

MELVIN NORRIS, ESQUIRE

	Defendant's Attorney		Additio	nal documer	nts attached
THE DEFENDAN	NT:				
pleaded guilty to co	unt(s)				
pleaded noto conter which was accepted					
was found guilty on after a plea of not g					
The defendant is adjud	icated guilty of these offenses: Ad	dditional	Counts - See cor	ntinuation pa	age 🔲
Title & Section	Nature of Offense		Offense Ended	<u>C</u> c	<u>ount</u>
18 USC sec. 1958	Use of Interstate Commerce Facilities in Commission of Murder for	or hire	04/07/05	Is	
18 USC sec. 1958	Use of Interstate Commerce Facilities in Commission of Murder fo	or hire	04/28/05	2s	
18 USC sec.1958	Use of Interstate Commerce Facilities in Commission of Murder fo		04/29/05	3s	
18 USC sec. 1958	Use of Interstate Commerce Facilities in Commission of Murder fo	or hire	05/16/05	4s	
18 USC sec. 1958	Use of Interstate Commerce Facilities in Commission of Murder fo	or hire	04/07/05	5s	
The defendant in the Sentencing Reform		igment.	The sentence is i	mposed pur	suant to
The defendant has b	peen found not guilty on count(s)				
Count(s)	is are dismissed on the motion	on of the	e United States.		
It is ordered the or mailing address until the defendant must not	nat the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district value all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic	within 30 gment ar nc circur	0 days of any char re fully paid. If or mstances.	nge of name dered to pay	, residence, restitution,
	03/08/07				
	Date of Imposition of Adgme	ent			
	Len	u sAH	2 Qual		
	Signature of Judge	1	7		
	The Honorable Ge	eorge A	A. O'Toole		
	Judge, U.S. Distri	ict Cou	rt		
	Name and Title at Judge		•		
	ma	uch	9 2007		

Date

♠AO 245B(05-MA)

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 2 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

DEFENDANT: GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO CASE NUMBER: 1: 05 CR 10164 - 001 - GAO
IMPRISONMENT
The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of: 240 month(s)
120 months on each of counts 1s-4s to run concurrently with each other and 120 months on Count 5s to run consecutively to the 120 months imposed on Counts 1s-4s.
✓ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
The court recommends to the Bureau of Prisons that the defendant participate in the 500 Hour Residential Drug Abuse Program.
✓ The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.
The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:
at a.m. p.m. on
as notified by the United States Marshal.
The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:
before 2 p.m. on
as notified by the United States Marshal.
as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
RETURN
I have executed this judgment as follows:
Defendant delivered onto
a, with a certified copy of this judgment.
UNITED STATES MARSHAL
By
DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 3 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

Schedule of Payments sheet of this judgment.

	FENDANT: SE NUMBER:		REDERICO VASCO - 001 - GAO SUPERVISED	RELEASE	Judgment—Pag	e 3 of See continuation page	
Upc	on release from in	mprisonment, the defend	lant shall be on supervised t	release for a term of:			
2 у	ears on each c	of counts 1s-5s to ru	n concurrently with each	ch other.			
cust	The defendant tody of the Burea	must report to the proba	tion office in the district to	which the defendant	is released within 72	2 hours of release from the	ne
The	defendant shall i	not commit another fede	eral, state or local crime.				
The subs ther	defendant shall a stance. The defer reafter, not to exc	not unlawfully possess andant shall submit to on seed 104 tests per year,	a controlled substance. The le drug test within 15 days of as directed by the probation	defendant shall refra of release from impris n officer.	in from any unlawfu onment and at least	al use of a controlled two periodic drug tests	
		g testing condition is sus te abuse. (Check, if app	spended, based on the court licable.)	's determination that	the defendant poses	a low risk of	
√	The defendant	shall not possess a firea	rm, ammunition, destructive	e device, or any other	dangerous weapon.	(Check, if applicable.)	
lacksquare	The defendant	shall cooperate in the co	ollection of DNA as directed	d by the probation off	icer. (Check, if app	licable.)	
			ate sex offender registration ficer. (Check, if applicable		where the defendant	resides, works, or is a	
	The defendant	shall participate in an aj	oproved program for domes	tic violence. (Check,	if applicable.)		

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions on the attached page.

If this judgment imposes a fine or restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 5) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation, unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- 6) the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;
- 7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 8) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 9) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony, unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court; and
- as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

Case 1:05-cr-10164-GAO Document 72 Filed 03/09/2007 Page 4 of 9

	(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Crisheet 4A - Continuation Page -	minal Case Supervised Release/Probation -10/05				
DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER:	GUILLERMO FR 1: 05 CR 10164	EDERICO VASCO - 001 - GAO	J	Judgment—Page _	4_ of	
	ADDITIONAL	☑ SUPERVISED REL	LEASE □ PRO	OBATION TI	ERMS	
The defenda	nt is not to consume	any alcoholic beverages.				
Probation O whether the	ffice, which program defendant has revert	a program for substance ab may include testing, not to ed to the use of alcohol or d s for such treatment based or	exceed 104 drugs. The defer	ug tests per year ndant shall be re	r, to determine equired to	
	des, but is not limited	name and is prohibited from to, any aliases, false dates	•	•	_	

Continuation of Conditions of Supervised Release Probation

SAO 245B(05-MA)

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 5 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO

5 of Judgment --- Page

DEFENDANT:

CASE NUMBER: 1: 05 CR 10164 - 001 - GAO

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant must pay the total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments on Sheet 6.

TOTALS \$	<u>ssment</u> \$500.00	\$	<u>Fine</u>	Resti \$	<u>tution</u>
The determination of after such determination		until Ar	Amended Ju	dgment in a Criminal Co	ase (AO 245C) will be entered
The defendant must	make restitution (inclu	ding community re	stitution) to the	following payees in the a	mount listed below.
If the defendant mal the priority order or before the United St	kes a partial payment, e percentage payment co ates is paid.	ach payee shall rec olumn below. How	eive an approxi vever, pursuant	mately proportioned paym to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), al	nent, unless specified otherwise is I nonfederal victims must be paid
Name of Payee	<u>Total</u>	<u>Loss*</u>	Restitu	tion Ordered	Priority or Percentage
					See Continuation Page
TOTALS	\$	\$0.00	\$	\$0.00	
The defendant mus fifteenth day after to penalties for del	the date of the judgmen inquency and default, p	tion and a fine of n t, pursuant to 18 U ursuant to 18 U.S. oes not have the ab	.S.C. § 3612(f) C. § 3612(g).	All of the payment option are and it is ordered that:	fine is paid in full before the ons on Sheet 6 may be subject

^{*} Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

AO 245B (Rev. 06/05) Criminal Judgment

Attachment (Page 1) - Statement of Reasons - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

DEFENDANT: GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO

CASE NUMBER: 1: 05 CR 10164 - 001 - GAO

DISTRICT: MASSACHUSETTS

STATEMENT OF REASONS

Judgment - Page 6 of

Α	√	The court adopts the presentence investigation report without change.
В		The court adopts the presentence investigation report with the following changes. (Check all that apply and specify court determination, findings, or comments, referencing paragraph numbers in the presentence report, if applicable (Use Section VIII if necessary.)
	1	Chapter Two of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to base offense level, or specific offense characteristics):
	2	Chapter Three of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to victim-related adjustments, role in the offense, obstruction of justice, multiple counts, or acceptance of responsibility):
	3	Chapter Four of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to criminal history category or scores, career offender, or criminal livelihood determinations):
	4	Additional Comments or Findings (including comments or factual findings concerning certain information in the presentence report that the Federal Bureau of Prisons may rely on when it makes inmate classification, designation, or programming decisions):
C		The record establishes no need for a presentence investigation report pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.
C	OURT	FINDING ON MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE (Check all that apply.)
Α	\blacksquare	No count of conviction carries a mandatory minimum sentence.
В		Mandatory minimum sentence imposed.
С		One or more counts of conviction alleged in the indictment carry a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, but the sentence imposed is below a mandatory minimum term because the court has determined that the mandatory minimum does not apply based on
		findings of fact in this case
		substantial assistance (18 U.S.C. § 3553(e))
		the statutory safety valve (18 U.S.C. § 3553(f))
C	OURT	DETERMINATION OF ADVISORY GUIDELINE RANGE (BEFORE DEPARTURES):
To	otal Off	ense Level: 39
		History Category: 1 ment Range: 262 to 327 months
آ		DEDUCE AND
	•	ed Release Range: 2 to 3 years

AO 245B (05-MA) (Rev. 06/05) Criminal Judgment
Attachment (Page 2) — Statement of Reasons - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

Judgment — Page 7 of

GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: 1: 05 CR 10164 - 001 - GAO DISTRICT: MASSACHUSETTS STATEMENT OF REASONS ADVISORY GUIDELINE SENTENCING DETERMINATION (Check only one.) The sentence is within an advisory guideline range that is not greater than 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart. в П The sentence is within an advisory guideline range that is greater than 24 months, and the specific sentence is imposed for these reasons. (Use Section VIII if necessary.) C The court departs from the advisory guideline range for reasons authorized by the sentencing guidelines manual. (Also complete Section V.) D 🗷 The court imposed a sentence outside the advisory sentencing guideline system. (Also complete Section VI.) DEPARTURES AUTHORIZED BY THE ADVISORY SENTENCING GUIDELINES (If applicable.) A The sentence imposed departs (Check only one.): below the advisory guideline range above the advisory guideline range B Departure based on (Check all that apply.): Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.): 5K1.1 plea agreement based on the defendant's substantial assistance 5K3.1 plea agreement based on Early Disposition or "Fast-track" Program binding plea agreement for departure accepted by the court plea agreement for departure, which the court finds to be reasonable plea agreement that states that the government will not oppose a defense departure motion. 2 Motion Not Addressed in a Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.): 5K1.1 government motion based on the defendant's substantial assistance 5K3.1 government motion based on Early Disposition or "Fast-track" program П government motion for departure defense motion for departure to which the government did not object defense motion for departure to which the government objected 3 Other Other than a plea agreement or motion by the parties for departure (Check reason(s) below.): C **Reason(s) for Departure** (Check all that apply other than 5K1.1 or 5K3.1.) 4A1.3 Criminal History Inadequacy 5K2.1 Death 5K2.11 Lesser Harm 5H1.1 Age \Box 5K2.2 Physical Injury П 5K2.12 Coercion and Duress 5H1.2 Education and Vocational Skills 5K2.3 Extreme Psychological Injury 5K2.13 Diminished Capacity 5H1.3 Mental and Emotional Condition ☐ 5K2.4 Abduction or Unlawful Restraint 5K2.14 Public Welfare 5H1.4 Physical Condition 5K2.5 Property Damage or Loss 5K2.16 Voluntary Disclosure of Offense 5H1.5 Employment Record П 5K2.6 Weapon or Dangerous Weapon 5K2.17 High-Capacity, Semiautomatic Weapon 5H1.6 Family Ties and Responsibilities 5K2.7 Disruption of Government Function 5K2.18 Violent Street Gang 5H1.11 Military Record, Charitable Service, \Box 5K2.8 Extreme Conduct 5K2.20 Aberrant Behavior Good Works 5K2.9 Criminal Purpose 5K2.21 Dismissed and Uncharged Conduct 5K2.0 Aggravating or Mitigating Circumstances 5K2.10 Victim's Conduct 5K2.22 Age or Health of Sex Offenders 5K2.23 Discharged Terms of Imprisonment Other guideline basis (e.g., 2B1.1 commentary) D Explain the facts justifying the departure. (Use Section VIII if necessary.)

AO 2	45B (0	95-MA) (Re Atta	ev. 06/0 achmer	05) Criminal Judgment nt (Page 3) — Statement of	f Reasons - D. Massachusetts 10/05			
CA			1: (DERICO VASCO - 001 - GAO STATEMENT OF I	REASONS	Judgment — Page	of
VI		URT DET eck all tha			ENTENCE OUTSIDE THE	ADVISORY GUIDEL	INE SYSTEM	
	A B	✓ below ☐ above	the a	imposed is (Check of advisory guideline randousory guideline randousory guideline randoused pursuant to (C	nge nge			
		1	Ple	binding plea agreement in plea agreement for a sen	all that apply and check reason for a sentence outside the advisory guideline es that the government will not oppose	nideline system accepted by the system, which the court finds	to be reasonable	visory guideline
		2	Mo	government motion for a defense motion for a sen	in a Plea Agreement (Check a sentence outside of the advisory gui atence outside of the advisory guidelintence outside of the advisory guidelintence outside of the advisory guideli	deline system ne system to which the gover	nment did not object	
		3	Otl		ment or motion by the parties for a set	ntence outside of the advisory	y guideline system (Check re	ason(s) below.):
	C	Reason((s) for	r Sentence Outside tl	he Advisory Guideline Syste	m (Check all that apply	/.)	
		to ref to affi to pro to pro (18 U to avo	lect the ord add nect th ovide the F.S.C. §	e seriousness of the offense equate deterrence to crimin the public from further crime the defendant with needed e § 3553(a)(2)(D)) warranted sentencing dispan	fense and the history and characteristic, to promote respect for the law, and hal conduct (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(E) es of the defendant (18 U.S.C. § 3553 educational or vocational training, merities among defendants (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(7))	to provide just punishment for (a)(2)(C)) dical care, or other correction	or the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3:	
	D	Explain	the f	facts justifying a sent	tence outside the advisory g	uideline system. (UseS	Section VIII if necessar	y.)
		There w	vere t	wo intended victims.	Consecutive sentences impos	ed at statutory Maximu	ım. Fuller explanation	stated in the

record in open court, a transcript of which is attached.

of

Judgment — Page

AO 245B (05-MA) (Rev. 06/05) Criminal Judgment

Attachment (Page 4) — Statement of Reasons - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO DEFENDANT:

CASE NUMBER: 1: 05 CR 10164 - 001 - GAO

DISTRICT:

MASSACHUSETTS

STATEMENT OF REASONS

VII	CO	URT	DET	ERMINAT	IONS OF RESTITUTION					
	A		Res	titution Not	Applicable.					
	В	Tota	ıl Am	ount of Res	itution:					
	C Restitution not ordered (Check only one.):									
		1			or which restitution is otherwise mandatory under 18 ctims is so large as to make restitution impracticable	U.S.C. § 3663A, restitution is not ordered because the number of under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A).				
		2		issues of fact	and relating them to the cause or amount of the viction	U.S.C. § 3663A, restitution is not ordered because determining complex ns' losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree (hed by the burden on the sentencing process under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B).				
		3		ordered becau		.C. § 3663 and/or required by the sentencing guidelines, restitution is not ng process resulting from the fashioning of a restitution order outweigh 63(a)(1)(B)(ii).				
		4		Restitution is	not ordered for other reasons. (Explain.)					
	D		Part	ial restitutio	n is ordered for these reasons (18 U.S.C.	§ 3553(c)):				
VIII	ADI	DITIO	ONAI	L FACTS J	USTIFYING THE SENTENCE IN TH	IS CASE (If applicable.)				
			Se	ctions I, II,	III, IV, and VII of the Statement of Reaso	ns form must be completed in all felony cases.				
Defe	ndant	's So	c. Sec	. No.: 000)-00-3451	Date of Imposition of Judgment				
Defe	ndant	a's Da	te of l	Birth: 00	00-1975	4. 10. 2.2				
Defe	ndant	's Re	sideno	ce Address:	117 Lexington Street, Apt. #3 East Boston, MA 01605	Signature of Judge The Hongrable George A. O'Toole Judge, U.S. District Cour				
Defe	ndant	i's Ma	iling	Address:	Worcester County Jail and House of Correction 5 Paul X. Tivnan Drive West Boylston, MA 01583	Name and Title of Judge Date Signed No. 4 7 7007				

1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	THE DISTRICT OF WASSACHUSETTS
3)
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff,) Criminal Action
6) No. 05-10164-GAO vs.
7	
8	GUILLERMO FREDERICO VASCO,
9	Defendant.)
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF STATEMENT OF REASONS
12	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR.
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	United States District Court John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
17	1 Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts 02210
18	March 8, 2007 2:00 p.m.
19	
20	* * * * *
21	
22	SHELLY M. KILLIAN, RPR, CM, CRR
23	Official Court Reporter John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
24 25	1 Courthouse Way, Room 3510 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 737-7117
	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Plaintiff:

3 Sandra S. Bower, AUSA
 Rachel E. Hershfang, AUSA
 4 United States Attorney's Office
 John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
 Page 1

	sor vasco.txt	
5	1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 Boston, Massachusetts 02210	
6	For the Defendant:	
7 8	Melvin Norris, Esq. Richard Farrell, Esq.	
9	260 Boston Post Road, Suite 9 Wayland, Massachusetts 01778	
10	wayi and, massachasetts 01770	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
2 4 25		
20		
		3
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	(The following proceedings were held in open court	
3	before the Honorable George A. O'Toole, Jr., United States	
4	District Judge, United States District Court, District of	
5 6	Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on April 18, 2006.	ı
7	The defendant, Guillermo Frederico Vasco, is	
8	present with counsel. Assistant U.S. Attorneys Sandra Bower	
9	and Rachel Hershfang are present.)	

THE COURT: Well, there are a number of factors in the statute to be considered. In the order of enumeration, I think the first is nature and circumstances of the offense, as well as the circumstances of the defendant. And so it's appropriate to consider the nature and circumstances of the offenses. And, by the way, I -- the statute, I take it, means the offense of conviction in context.

It's a serious offense. It is, however, a Class C offense with a ten-year maximum established by the Congress. In particular circumstances, the factual circumstances might make any particular instance of its commission more or less serious. But, in any event, I take it that any felony that upon conviction of which a ten-year sentence can be imposed is a serious offense. One of the I think remarkable consequences of some of the high sentences that are available throughout is we begin to think of ten-year sentences as if they were short

sentences or somehow minor punishment. Whatever the ultimate sentence, I think ten years is still a very serious offense.

The nature and circumstances of the defendant himself. He has pending charges, of course. I don't presume any result on those, although I know something about the facts that support the allegations and I am able to take those things into account.

In terms of the defendant's social history, there are some things that are of concern: His, perhaps the best way to put it I think, his relatively instability -- and I use that both socially and personally -- which is of concern. I think it is of concern with -- when considered in connection with another one of the statutory factors, which is the need for the sentence to protect the public from further offenses by the

sor vasco.txt defendant. And a defendant who has relative instability is of more risk to the community in general and perhaps the particular people in the community than somebody else.

 The federal statutes that were violated here are part of an array of federal criminal penalties that generally deal with or are most commonly applied to, I think is a better way of saying it, organized crime and racketeering activities. It's a little unusual to see them applied in connection with what would otherwise be state offenses. And so, for example, one might think of a kind of heartland application of the murder for hire, Section 1958, which prohibits strictly

speaking the use of interstate commerce facilities in pursuance of a murder-for-hire scheme. One might think of that as, perhaps in a heartland case, aimed at activities of interstate criminal enterprises, such as the classic mob, union racketeering, things like that. That's their genesis I think it's fair to say.

So this is not particularly a heartland prosecution in that context. Murder itself, a murder of a husband by his wife and his daughter is not a federal crime; it's a state crime. So I think I have to be conscious as a federal judge sentencing for the violation of federal statutes the offense against the federal sovereign and not act as if I were a state judge punishing common law or state statutory offenses. That's not an answer; that's a guidance that stands alongside the guidance that comes from the guidelines.

As I said, the offense of conviction is a serious offense even at the level at which it is set, and there is an important interest to be served in the deterrence of similar offenses by others, other people than the defendant. General

20 deterrence.

We've talked about the apparent anomaly in the way the guidelines operate on their face here, and that is -- I'm not sure what the answer to that is. But it leads me to be, again, a bit leery of adopting the answer from the guidelines as if it were unimpeached. I think there is some question

about it.

Probation has suggested that one way of dealing with that is to consider -- and maybe others, I'm not sure whether Mr. Norris did as well -- consider what the alternate calculation might have been at 32. If you use that and grouped in the same way, applied all the other adjustments, it would have come to 34, which I think produces a range of 151 to 188. That's not the official guideline range. That's not the advice of the guidelines strictly speaking as I've already said. It's, again, something I think to be noted.

I note further that for the state crimes involved, the defendant still is exposed to conviction and punishment. I don't presume the punishment because I can't do that. There is no conviction. Again, it's one of the factors in general that there is some possibility, perhaps even likelihood of additional and presumably -- well, maybe I shouldn't say presumably, but certainly possibly consecutive punishment by the state authorities. I say that because if there is a state sentence imposed, it will follow this one. And it would certainly be not unusual for the separate state sovereign to impose its own penalty from and after the conclusion of the federal sovereign's penalty.

Whatever the guideline range, whether it's as calculated as 262 to 328 or the shadow calculation of 151 to

sor vasco.txt 188 that's been suggested, whatever it is, I'm not disposed to

literally follow 5G1.2's advice about maximizing the consecutive nature of things to get -- simply to get to the guideline range. I do think that some consecutive sentencing is appropriate here because there are separate victims.

And I don't revisit that. It was the jury verdict. The jury found the crime involved two separate victims. That was disputed at the trial, and the jury was convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that that is the case. So I think consecutive sentences so that each victim's harm is recognized in the sentence is appropriate. I don't think it's appropriate to multiply consecutive sentences for a given victim. That is, I don't think it's appropriate to seek to impose consecutive sentences on Counts One through Four.

Prior to the guidelines, whether sentences would be imposed consecutively or concurrently was obviously not governed by the principles that were provided in the guidelines. It's in the statute I referred to 18, United States Code 3584. And it's certainly my experience that -- and as far as I know, not only my experience but what I glean from cases -- that the default position as it were, even for multiple offenses of the same statute -- under the same statute would be punished in confined criminal episodes concurrently. So that there's a strong tendency towards concurrent. And I think in particular where here the offense, strictly speaking, is the -- the key element of the offense, what makes it the

1 federal crime is the use of interstate facilities. Attempting

2 two different murders is a greater distinction deserving of

3 more recognition than attempting one murder with three or four Page 6

different letters, and I think those things should not be given equal weight.

So, I recognize that as a matter of law, a sentence under each of the five counts could be imposed consecutively and that the sentence could be, therefore, up to 50 years. I recognize, therefore, the sentence could be imposed within the range that it's been calculated under the guidelines on their face, which is the 262 to 327. I think a sentence in those ranges, partly for the reasons I've said, or I guess essentially for the reasons I've said, would be greater than necessary to achieve the objective of the statute. And the sentencing statute requires the sentence imposed be sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve the objectives set forth.

I am impressed I think by Mrs. Vasco's plea that she and her daughter be free of the concern that the defendant be tempted to repeat any of the episodes, and that leads me to consider a sentence that would be sufficiently long to accomplish that. And I think that can be done by imposing consecutive sentences at the statutory maximum for a total of 20 years.

As to other matters of the sentence, I will not

impose a monetary fine. I don't think there's any realistic prospect of it being paid. And there will be a term of supervised release and obviously deportation order and I will sign the stipulation.

MR. NORRIS: Your Honor, would you recommend he go to the 500-hour program for alcoholism?

THE COURT: Was that addressed in the PSR?

PROBATION OFFICER: I believe it was. He does have

Page 7

9	a documented history of alcohol abuse.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I see it, yes. I see it. Yes,
11	I will.
12	MR. NORRIS: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Vasco, if you'd stand, please.
14	Guillermo Vasco, on your conviction of these
15	offenses and pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it
16	is the judgment of the Court that you be and you hereby are
17	committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be
18	imprisoned for a term of 240 months. This consists of terms of
19	120 months on Counts One through Four of the superseding
20	indictment, all to be served concurrently with one another, and
21	an equal term of 120 months on Count Five to be served
22	consecutively with the terms imposed on Counts One through
23	Four.
24	Upon your release from imprisonment, you shall be
25	placed on supervised release for a term of two years,
	10
1	consisting of equal terms of two years on each of the counts of
2	conviction, all to be run and served concurrently. Within 72
3	hours of your release from the custody of the Bureau of
4	Prisons, you shall report in person to the district to which
5	you have been released.
6	As I said, I will not impose a monetary fine.
7	While on supervised release, you will comply with
8	all the standard conditions that pertain to that status. Those
9	are set forth in the United States Sentencing Guidelines at
10	Section 5D1.3(c). Those conditions are incorporated by
11	reference and will be set forth at length in the judgment.
12	You shall not commit any other federal, state, or
13	local crime. You shall not illegally possess any controlled Page 8

substance. You shall refrain from the unlawful use of any controlled substance and shall submit to a drug test within 15 days of your release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, not to exceed a total of 104 tests in a given year, all as may be directed by your probation officer.

You shall submit to the collection of a DNA sample as directed by the probation office.

You are prohibited from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon. During your supervised release, you are to refrain from the consumption of alcoholic beverages. You may be required to participate in a

program for substance abuse counseling if directed to do so by the probation office, which may include random testing not to exceed a total of 104 tests in a given year, to determine whether you have reverted to the abuse of alcohol or drugs. You may be required to contribute to the cost of services for such program based on your ability to pay or the availability of third-party payment.

You shall at all times use your true name and you're prohibited from using any false identifying information, including but not limited to any aliases, false dates of birth, false social security numbers, incorrect places of birth.

If you are ordered deported -- well, I guess we're going to sign a stipulation. I don't need to impose that condition.

There is a mandatory special assessment of \$100 for each of the counts of conviction, a total of \$500 which will be due forthwith.

THE CLERK: Guillermo Federico Vasco, you have the Page 9

right to file a notice of appeal in this case. If you do wish to file an appeal, you must file it within ten days from the date the judgment is entered. If you cannot afford an attorney to file the appeal on your behalf, you may request the clerk of the Court to file the appeal for you and I will do so. Do you understand, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: All right. The defendant stands committed in the custody of the Marshal. MS. BOWER: Your Honor, if the Court could just note the government's objection to the deviation of the applicable guideline range. THE COURT: Noted. We'll be in recess. THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess. (Adjourned, 3:25 p.m.)

Case 1:05-cr-10164-GAO Document 72-2 Filed 03/09/2007 Page 11 of 11

sor vasco. txt

24

25